When is foreign exchange intervention effective? Evidence from 33 Countries

M. Fratzscher, O. Gloede, L. Menkhoff, L. Sarno, T. Stöhr

Discussion

Valerio Nispi Landi

Bank of Italy¹

ABFER 6th Annual Conference, May 2018

¹The opinions expressed and conclusions drawn are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Bank of Italy.

Introduction

- Excellent paper on a crucial question: do foreign exchange interventions (FXI) affect the exchange rate? Under which conditions?
- Novel daily dataset allows to say a lot about FXI.
- Theory: Why should FXI affcet the FX rate?
 - If assets of different countries are not perfect substitutes, returns depend on the relative supply of these assets.
 - PXI signal the central bank's policy stance.

Methodology

- Suppose CB buys (sells) foreign currency. Three success criteria:
 - Event: FX rate depreciates (appr.) during this intervention (int.).
 - Smoothing: FX rate depreciation (appr.) during and for 5 days after the int. is smaller than during the 5 days leading up to the int.
 - Stabilization: FX rate kept in a band of 2 percentage points during the int. and the following two weeks.
- ∀ criterion, estimate the following model for the success rate c_{ir} in country i and regime r:

$$c_{ir} = \theta_r + \gamma X_i + \epsilon_{ir}$$
.

- X_i is a vector of FXI specific variables (such as the size of FXI) while θ_r captures exchange rate regime fixed effects.
- Three FX regimes: flexible, broad band, narrow band.
- Compare estimated c_{ir} with placebo success rates.

Main Results

- FXI have a success rate higher than 80% in narrow bands regimes.
- Large FXI increase the probability of affecting the FX rate in floating regimes.
- FXI smooth the FX rate in all regimes.
- FXI more effective if they are noticed and made published by oral communication.

Question #1: How much FX rate is affected?

- Suppose a big purchase of foreign assets in a free-floater, around 1% of GDP.
- Estimates in the paper suggest that the exchange rate is going to depreciate almost surely.
- However, policy makers want to know quantitative estimates of FXI on FX rate.
- Big challenge: identifying the quantitative impact of FXI on FX rate.
- Problem: huge reverese causality issue. FXI are big when market pressure is high.

Question #2: Why is intervention size not (so) important?

 Event criterion. In free floaters, an average FXI increases success rate by:

$$100 \left(\underset{av.daily\ FXI}{0.02} \times \underset{est.elasticity}{0.33} \right) = 0.6\ p.p.$$

- Smoothing/Stabilization criterion: intervention size does not matter.
- Quite surprising: large interventions have the same probability to affect FX rate of small interventions.
- Only big interventions matter? Any non-linear effects of size?
- Probably, the reason is again that FXI are endogenous: the stronger market pressure, the larger FXI.

Suggestion #1: The precautionary motive

- EMEs tend to accumulate international reserves also for precautionary reasons. Are FXI successful under this perspective?
- It could be tested whether FXI are associated with a less volatile business cycle/current account or whether they reduce the probability of sudden stops.

Suggestion #2: FXI are a signal

- Central banks can use FXI to provide information on thier policy stance.
- Therefore, FXI can be effective not only by affecting assets supply, but also by driving markets' expectations.
- Interesting to disentangle these two channels.
- The 1st channel is likely to prevail during the intervention, the 2nd channel should dominate in the following days.
- So you can define other criteria (for instance, based only on the effectivenss after the FXI.)

Suggestion #3: Non-succesful FXI

- FXI are often seen as first-resort measure to affet the FX rate.
 Other tools (i.e. mon. policy) may have unintended consequences on domestic stability.
- If they are not successfull, it is likely that policy makers will try with other instrument.
- You can test this! Do policy makers change interest rates/capital controls when a FXI is not succesfull?

Conclusions

- Interesting and well-executed paper on a old but still very hot topic: convinving evidence that FXI are successful in affecting the FX rate.
- More precise quantitative estimates are needed to inform policy makers and to guide economic models.
- Look forward to see how the authors will exploit such a rich dataset!