Hard to say goodbye to yesterday: War memories, patriotism, and individual investors' investment preferences

Bin Ke, Yupeng Lin, Hong Tu, and Weibiao Xu Presented by Yupeng Lin (NUS)

# Research question

- Whether do collective memories of wars affect individual investors' investment preferences?
  - Individuals have never directly experienced the wars.
  - Mechanisms that strengthen the effect of collective memories of wars on investors' investment preferences.

# Why collective memory of war

- Collective memory represents past events associated with the values, narratives and biases specific to that group.
- Collective memory defines the group and provides a sense of continuity through time.
  - Halbwachs (1950); Wertsch and Roediger (2008)
- Mass war death is an integral part of modern national collective memory (Gillis, 1994).
  - Countries invested enormous administrative efforts in memorial projects for fallen soldiers and victims (Mosse, 1994).
  - But the understanding on the effect of collective memory on economic behaviors is very limited.

# From collective war memories to equity investment

- Collective memory hands information down from generation to generation, helping avoid the adverse effect of negative consequences.
  - Pfister (2009); Fanta, Salek, and Sklenicka (2019).
- For same wars, the negative consequences are partially due to illequipped and poorly trained military forces.
  - E.g., The defeat of the Chinese armies in the early stage of the second China-Japan War is often attributed to the ill-equipped armies.
  - There have been frequent calls for modernizing China's military forces to avoid humiliations in the future.
- Individuals affected by the collective memory of war have a stronger incentive to support the military industry.
  - One way is to buy stocks of publicly listed companies in the industry.

### Identification

- We focus on the Second China-Japanese War of 1937-1945
  - One of the largest interstate war conflicts in the world.
- Treatment sample
  - Individual investors who reside in the Chinese cities that experienced at least one major military battle during the War
- Control sample
  - Individual investors who reside in the other Chinese cities

#### Treatment vs. Control

- The treated cities have more residents who suffered directly during the war
  - They transmit painful war experiences to younger generation via story-telling
  - E.g., Auerhahn and Laub (1998); Felsen (1998)
- The local media of the treatment cities provide more coverage of the War.
  - E.g., Kitch (2005); Neiger, Meyers, Zandberg (2011)

#### Identification

- Relevant dependent variable
  - A well defined dependent variable can help rule out alternative explanations.
- Using the military stock holding as a dependent variable
  - It captures the weight assigned to military stocks in individuals' portfolios.
- $HR (Mil)_{j,t} = a_0 + a_1 \times Treatment_j + a_2 \times X_{j,t} + a_3 \times \delta_{year-month} + a_4 \times \theta_{province} + \varepsilon_{j,t}$
- It is difficult to come up with an alternative explanation.
  - Factors affect the incidence of wars and individuals' preferences on military stocks?

#### Identification

- Propensity score matching
  - Matched based on important observable individual characteristics
  - Gender, Risk preference, Age, Account opening month, Trading frequencies,. Adjacent city
- Use geographic proximity between the city and the major iron ore mines found before the war as an instrumental variable.
  - One important strategic goal of Japanese army in the war is to occupy mineral resources in China (Yukio, 1995).



### Data

- Individual investors' brokerage accounts
  - One of the largest nationwide brokerage firms in China under the condition of anonymity.
- Demographic information and trading information
  - Age, gender, residential address at the city level, etc.
  - Buy vs. sell, security type, the quantity of a trade, the dollar value of a trade.
- To get the holding information, we start with 216,732 unique brokerage accounts opened between January 1, 2010, and April 30, 2012.
- We select a random sample of 75,045 (about one third) unique brokerage accounts.
  - Cover all transactions an individual investor has made during 2010 to the end of 2015.
  - The final sample contains 48,525 unique individual investor accounts

# Main findings

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	<u>Full sample</u>	<u>PS matched</u>	$\underline{PS} + \underline{Pair-city}$	<u>First stage</u>	<u>Second stage</u>
		<u>sample</u>	matched		
			<u>sample</u>		
VARIABLES	Mil holding	Mil holding	Mil holding	Treatment	Mil holding
	ratio (%)	ratio (%)	ratio (%)		ratio (%)
Treatmont	0 945***	0 979***	0 509***		
Treatment	(6.32)	(5,50)	(9.02)		
Distance	(0.32)	(0.00)	(3.00)	-0 001***	
Distance				(-15.49)	
Fitted(Treatmont)				(-10.40)	<b>२ 1२०</b> ***
Fitted(ffeatment)					(12.75)
Controls	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	(12.70) Ves
Fixed Effects	Province vear-	Province vear-	Province year-	Province year-	Province year-
TIACU EIICUS	month	month	month	month	month
Observations	1,619,630	1,033,702	411,168	1,619,630	1,619,630

The magnitude of the coefficient on suggests that investors in the treated cities hold around 10% more military-stocks than investors in the control cities

# Sharpen the identification

- Effect of military casualty intensity
  - The intensity of the battles varies significantly across cities
  - The memory of war would be more vivid if the casualty intensity is higher.
- Age effect
  - Collective war memory decays as time passes(Candia et al. 2018)
  - Estimate how long it will take to eliminate the effect of collective war memory.
- Media effect
  - Propaganda is important in shaping the collective memory (Neiger, Meyers, Zandberg, 2011)
  - Local media bias and the incremental effect of local media bias on individuals' preferences on military stocks.
- Event study based on the Diaoyu Islands Dispute
  - A DID approach to test how individuals response differently to new conflicts due to their different exposure to collective war memory.

### Military casualty intensity

VARIABLES	(1) Mil holding ratio (%)	(2) Mil holding ratio (%)
Chinese army mortality/ km2	0.014*	0.012***
	(1.93)	(4.94)
Japanese army mortality/km2	-0.014	
	(-0.28)	
Other Controls	Yes	Yes
	Military Capital, Province, year-	Military Capital, Province, year-
Fixed Effects	month	month
Observations	543,402	543,402
R-squared	0.001	0.001

# Age effect

	(1)	(2)
VARIABLES	Mil holding ratio (%)	Mil holding ratio (%)
	0.010444	
Age×Treatment	0.012***	
	(4.59)	
Age	-0.003	
	(-1.22)	
First post-war generation × Treatment		0.380***
		(5.93)
First post-war generation		-0.080
		(-1.62)
Other Controls	Yes	Yes
Fixed Effects	City, year-month	City, year-month
Observations	1,033,702	1,033,702
R-squared	0.004	0.004

Under the strict assumption of linearity, it takes around 59 years for the difference between the treated cities and control cities to disappear completely

#### Media effect

- 1. Identify the most widely circulated local party newspaper and local non-party newspaper from a popular newspaper database, WISENEWS.
- 2. Identify all the articles whose titles contain any of the following keywords: anti-Japanese, patriotic, anti-war, Second World War, Sino-Japanese.
- 3. Manually read the identified articles and exclude irrelevant articles

	Ν	Mean	Std	
Yearly # of related news in all				
cities	1192	28.14	19.29	
Yearly # of related news in				
treatment cities	108	39.81	35.86	
Yearly # of related news in				
control cities	1084	26.97	16.35	
Diff(Treatment-Control)		21.31***		
VARIABLES		Mil holdi	ng ratio (%)	
Highmedia dummy×Treatment	0.417***			
		3)	8.39)	
Highmedia dummy	0.052			
		$(\mathbf{C})$	0.61)	
Other Control	Yes			
Fixed Effects City, year-month		ear-month		
Observations 944,065		4,065		
R-squared		0.003		

# Diaoyu islands dispute

- The ownership of Diaoyu Islands is a focal point in the post war China-Japan relationship.
  - Both China and Japan claim the ownership of the islands
- Japanese government has not allowed any party to develop the Islands, but it attempted to nationalize the Islands via a series of public actions from April 2012 to September 2012.
  - First proposed in April 2012 and completed in September 2012
- The Chinese government confronted Japan over a series of actions during the event window

# Diaoyu islands dispute

VARIABLES	Mil holding ratio (%)
Treatment×React-period	0.200**
	(2.28)
Treatment×Post-react-period	-0.021
	(-0.25)
Log(Province quarterly GDP)	-1.140***
	(-2.65)
Fixed Effects	Individual, year-month
Observations	312,289
R-squared	0.702

#### Robustness

- Rule out information story that treatment groups have more information about the fundamentals of the military stocks.
- Robust to alternative measure (indicator variable) of military stock holdings.
- The effect is not concentrated in a single city but exists in most of the treatment cities.
- No effect on portfolio risk.

### Conclusion

- Individual investors in the cities experienced the Second China- Japan War assign a higher weight to Chinese military stocks.
  - Cities that saw higher Chinese military casualties during the War.
  - Older investors who are likely to have stronger memories about the War.
  - Cities where the local newspapers have more discussions on the War.
  - Investors in the treated cities have a greater response to Diaoyu Islands dispute and hold more military stocks during the event period.
- The collective memories of wars that occurred long ago, transmitted across generations, can have a significant and long lasting impact on the investment decisions of individual investors today



#### Additional Tests

	Full Sample	P-score Sample
VARIABLES	Mil holding ratio (%)	Mil holding ratio (%)
Eastern China	0.335***	0.444***
	(5.30)	(6.07)
Westen China	1.877***	2.805***
	(6.48)	(9.16)
Central China	0.242**	0.006
	(2.14)	(0.04)
Other Controls	Yes	Yes
Fixed Effects	Province, year-month	Province, year-month
Observations	1,619,630	1,033,702
R-squared	0.002	0.002

Panel A VARIABLES	Full Sample Mil holding dummy	P-score Sample Mil holding dummy
Treatment	$0.011^{***}$ (11.59)	0.010*** (8.27)
Other Controls	Yes	Yes
Fixed Effects	Province, year-month	Province, year-month
Observations	1,619,630	1,033,702
R-squared	0.004	0.004

#### Additional Tests

	(1)	(2)
VARIABLES	Mil holding ratio (%)	Mil holding ratio (%)
Treatment	-0.036 (-0.54)	$0.304^{***}$ (5.27)
Age×Treatment	0.013*** (8.13)	
First post-war generation ×Treatment		$0.361^{***}$ (8.27)
War generation ×Treatment		0.577*** (2.95)
Other Controls	Yes	Yes
Fixed Effects	Province, year-month	Province, year-month
Observations	1,054,738	1,054,738
R-squared	0.002	0.002

#### Additional Tests

(1) Portfolio return volatility
0.000 (0.10)
Yes
Province
30,410
0.075