Discussion of Ma and Wei's

"International Equity and Debt Flows to EMEs: Composition, Crises, and Controls"

by Anton Korinek UVA Economics and Darden, NBER and CEPR

May 2021

ABFER 8th Annual Conference: International Macro, Money & Banking

Summary

- Paper provides a theory of countries' external capital structure
 - Based on notion that equity generates greater moral hazard than debt
 - And institutional quality counteracts moral hazard incentives
- \rightarrow More developed countries use more equity
 - \rightarrow Are better insured
 - \rightarrow Experience fewer crises
 - \rightarrow Have less need for capital controls
- Will provide more extensive comments in person

Background: Pecuniary Externalities

Incomplete financial markets generate two types of pecuniary externalities (Davila and Korinek, 2018):

- Distributive externalities: when agents are imperfectly insured, and price movements change agents' terms-of-trade to improve insurance
- Collateral externalities: when agents are subject to price-dependent financial constraints

Background: Pecuniary Externalities

This paper: collateral externalities



Background: Pecuniary Externalities

This paper: collateral externalities



Background: Pecuniary Externalities and 2nd-Best Interventions



Pecuniary externalities and capital structure

- Described collateral externalities arise in any state of nature in which the collateral constraint binds
 - Typically, constraint is tightest in bad states of nature
 - Equity contracts entails much smaller repayments than debt in those states
 → smaller externalities
- General formula for tax t_x on security x:

$$t_{x} = E[\tau^{\omega} \cdot x^{\omega}]$$

where τ^{ω} ... externality kernel (externality in state of nature ω) and x^{ω} ... state-contingent payoff

Pecuniary externalities and capital structure

For example, in Korinek (JIE 2018):

Asset category	Real gross	Externality	Optimal
	return	in 1998	tax
Dollar debt	218%	30.7%	1.54%
GDP-indexed dollar debt	190%	26.8%	1.34%
CPI-indexed rupiah debt	100%	14.1%	0.71%
Rupiah debt	63%	8.9%	0.44%
Stock market index	44%	6.2%	0.31%

Empirical findings

Fact 1: institutional quality 7 share of equity financing

Fact 2: share of equity financing **\U** crisis probability

Fact 3: institutional quality arrow use of capital controls

Comments:

- Much of this probably driven by AEs vs EMEs/DEs
- External equity financing/GDP is better indicator of a country's insurance

Main Contribution: Model of Capital Structure

Tirole-style moral hazard problem that is linear in amount raised

- MH problem is set up for both debt & equity
- then assumed away for debt
- but collateral constraint on debt is imposed
- → It would be cleanest to derive both from (the same) microfoundations

Debt vs Equity

Tirole-style moral hazard problem that is linear in amount raised

- Gives rise to "iceberg cost" of equity $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- Debt vs equity = return vs insurance
- Greater institutional quality allows for more insurance

Propositions 4 & 6: competitive equilibrium and planner feature:

- only debt if θ too high
- debt and equity otherwise

(case of equity only is unlikely unless $\theta \leq 0$)

Summary

- Paper provides a theory of countries' external capital structure
 - Based on notion that equity generates greater moral hazard than debt
 - And institutional quality counteracts moral hazard incentives
- \rightarrow More developed countries use more equity
 - \rightarrow Are better insured
 - \rightarrow Experience fewer crises
 - \rightarrow Have less need for capital controls
- Will provide more extensive comments in person