"Serial Entrepreneurship in China"

by Loren Brandt, Ruochen Dai, Gueorgui Kambourov, Kjetil Storesletten, Xiaobo Zhang

Hui Chen MIT and NBER

Webinar on Capital Market Development: China and Asia November 16, 2022

Overview

Serial entrepreneurs (SE) and firm creation in China

- Amazing data on entrepreneurship:
 - \hookrightarrow Universe of all firms in China
 - \hookrightarrow Tracking main founders through unique identifiers
 - \hookrightarrow Region-industry-year controls
- Intriguing empirical patterns for SEs, which are captured by a simple model:
 - \hookrightarrow Firms founded by SEs are more productive on average, with the 2nd firm even more productive than the 1st.
 - \hookrightarrow Concurrent versus sequential operation of multiple firms (depending on the gap in TFPs).
 - → Industry choice for subsequent firms (learning about industry-specific skills versus diversification).
- Evidence for persistence of entrepreneurial skills and impact of financial constraints.

Comments

- Why should we care about serial entrepreneurs?
- Why is SE performance persistent?
- Measurement of TFP

Should we invest with this guy?



1. Why should we care about serial entrepreneurs?

- Serial entrepreneurship is a big part of firm creation.
 - \hookrightarrow China: Large and rising share of Chinese firms created by individuals: 31% in 1995; 87% in 2015
 - → Fraction of SE firms: 31% in 1995; 28% in 2015
 - → U.S.: Around 10% in 2000 (Gompers, Kovner, Lerner, Scharfstein 2010)
- SEs help establish persistence of performance at entrepreneur level.
 - → U.S.: An SE who succeeds in a venture has a 30% chance of succeeding (going public) in next venture, versus 21% for first-timer, 22% for SE who has previously failed (GKLS 2010).
 - → This paper: TFP of non-SE firm < 1st SE firm < 2nd SE firm
- SEs might help us disentangle different sources of persistence:
 - ← Persistence in skill, learning, financial constraints
- Important implications for policy and practice:
 - → Should the government foster learning by giving out many small grants (e.g. Howell 2017), or "chase skill" by providing more resources to SEs with good track records?

2. Why is SE performance persistent?

■ This model: Selection by entrepreneurs + persistence in skill ⇒ Higher TFP for SE firms.

- \hookrightarrow If $\rho = 0$, non-SE and SE firms will have the same expected TFP.
- → A side note: Connections ("who you know") are also persistent. Are they part of the skill?
- \hookrightarrow Financial constraint: Affects the TFP threshold for future firms.
- Alternative channel: Perceived performance persistence can lead to real performance persistence – success breeding more success.
 - \hookrightarrow With past success, an SE can attract capital, labor, suppliers, and customers more easily.
- This is especially true when financial constraints are severe.
 - \rightarrow Past successes could relax financial constraints (increase λ or *e*), which could potentially raise profitability and reduce failure rates controlling for *z*.
 - \hookrightarrow In a more model in which both channels operate, can the data help us separate the two effects and allow us to estimate ρ ?

2. Why is SE performance persistent?

- Financial constraint? Compare degree of persistence among founders with large versus small amount of registered capital (controlling for industry).
- Compare industries that are more or less opaque; industries with more or less physical capital.
- Learning? Is it about own management experience (applying specifically to managers) or industry opportunities (need not be owners)? Is it about successful experience or failures?
- More dynamics:
 - \hookrightarrow Option to wait could generate the positive link between inside equity and TFP.
 - → Richer predictions about capital structure.

Measurement of TFP:

$$z_i = y_i^{\frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}} \left(\frac{w}{\alpha\eta}\right)^{\frac{\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}} k_i^{-\frac{(1-\alpha)\eta}{1-\eta}}$$

■ Li and Yu (2021): *k*_i could be understated due to the presence of leased capital, which inflates TFP.

 \hookrightarrow Especially among small and financially constrained firms.

Do SE firms use more leased capital than non-SE firms?

- An important contribution.
- Would love to see more analysis to help deepen our understanding of the sources of performance persistence.